

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/519,009	12/21/2004	John T. Buckley	HOLOI P-443	7240
277 7:	590 11/03/2006		EXAM	INER
PRICE HENE	VELD COOPER DEW	LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE		
695 KENMOO P O BOX 2567	•	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DS, MI 49501		3611	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,009	BUCKLEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lee Lum	3611
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		,
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) all is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet 11)	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-7,9-11,13-23,25-,27-31,33-44,46,49,50,53-55,60-66,68,69,73,76,78,80,82-86,88-99,101-103.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,009 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Amendment was filed 8/21/06.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

A. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-23, 25, 27-31, 33-44, 49, 50, 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy et al 6010142 in view of Gibbons 5413366.

McCoy discloses a drawbar and universal hitch 10 for a trailer hitch (unidentified, inherent) comprising

Base plate 14 with first and second portions 20,22, and two rows (one on each portion 16) of apertures 24 along the longest dimension, and further including

Radiused corners (fig 1),

The sections meeting at an arcuate intersection (fig 2; "joining" curve between the sections).

Shank 12 disposed on the base plate, with first 36, central 38, and second 36, portions forming an I-shaped crosssection (fig 3), and including opening 42 located on rectangular/square crossection 38,

Where one aperture on the plate is disposed between the first and second portions (aperture second-from-the-bottom on the plate),

The plate and shank being fabricated* from an integral, continuous piece of material (c2, ln 11-12),

Application/Control Number: 10/519,009

Art Unit: 3611

First, and second, strengthening members (fig1; unidentified opposite, curved areas adjacent element 34 and base plate) connecting the first and second sections of the plate and shank, each including first/second central area, and first/second outer edge extending beyond the central area, forming first/second T-shaped crosssection, the latter as broadly and reasonably interpreted.

Page 3

The reference does not disclose the apertures configured such that a line cannot be extended through an aperture in the first row, and an aperture in the second row; ie, the apertures are configured such that they are parallel to the shank.

Gibbons shows this arrangement with apertures 28 arranged parallel to the transversely-oriented coupler 38. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this embodiment, as shown in Gibbons, to accommodate a connection having fasteners oriented parallel to a perpendicular member, thus increasing applicability. However, the orientation of the connection member/plate is immaterial to its intended function.

* Fabrication is immaterial to an apparatus claim.

B. Claims 6, 7, 35, 36, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy in view of Gibbons, and in further view of Landoll et al 6394734.

McCoy does not disclose the second strengthening member as including an opening, while Landoll shows this configuration in fig 13, with unidentified strengthening members with apertures, adjacent longitudinal frame members. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this configuration, as shown in Landoll, to decrease weight in the member, thus increase portability and convenience, and as is very well-known.

Art Unit: 3611

C. Claims 60-64, 68, 69, 73, 76, 78, 80, 82, 83, 86, 88-97, 101-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy in view of Gibbons, and in further view of Gries et al 6139043.

McCoy does not disclose a pintle hook connected to, or through the apertures of, the base plate, while Gries shows a similar arrangement with pintle hook including elements 40,50, which may be attached to the base plate via various well-known means. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this arrangement, as shown in Gries, to provide this type of hitch on a base mount, thus increase applicability to different hitch situations, or vehicle components, as is very well-known.

D. Claims 65, 66, 84, 85, 98, 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy in view of Gibbons and Gries, and in further view of Landoll.

The previous references do not disclose the second strengthening member as including an opening, while Landoll shows this configuration in fig 13, with unidentified strengthening members with apertures, adjacent longitudinal frame members, as discussed in paragraph A. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this configuration, as shown in Landoll, to decrease weight in the member, thus increase portability and convenience, and as is very well-known.

3. RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Examiner provides modified rejections due to amendments. McCoy in view of Gibbons discloses most of the limitations, including the amendment of (paraphrasing) "two rows of apertures oriented parallel to the shank". This feature does not materially affect the proper design and function of the invention.

Art Unit: 3611

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Communication with the Examiner/USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication, or others, should be directed to Ms. Lum-Vannucci at 571 272 6649, M-F, 9-5. If she can't be reached, her supervisor, Ms. Lesley Morris, may be reached at 571 272 6651. Our fax number is 571 273 8300.

Info re the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system as follows: for unpublished applications – private PAIR only, for published applications – private or public PAIR. For more info on PAIR – http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For more info on private PAIR – call the Electronic Business Center at 866 217 9197.

Ms. Lee Lum-Vannucci Examiner

10/26/06

LESLEY D. MORRIS

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5